



RESEARCH OF INTERLOPING FORCES AND THREATS
OFFICE OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS
FORMAL EMPLOYEE CONDUCT COMPLAINT

Form FECC-14.A • Rev. 08/2022 • Internal Administrative Record

INSTRUCTIONS: Provide complete and accurate information. Attach additional pages or supporting records as necessary. Submission does not constitute a finding of misconduct. Retaliation for a good-faith complaint is prohibited.

SECTION I — COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

Full Name: Dr. Nathan Sinclair	Employee No.: #143-D	Department(s): 36F-a1, 45F-i3, 47F-i8, 48F-i10, 62F-i1
-----------------------------------	-------------------------	--

SECTION II — RESPONDENT INFORMATION (EMPLOYEE COMPLAINED AGAINST)

Full Name: Dr. Samuel Albert Kane	Employee No.: #282-D	Relevant Department(s): 50F-i3
--------------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------

SECTION III — NATURE OF COMPLAINT

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| ☐ Abuse of Authority | ☒ Breach of Policy or Protocol | ☐ Conflict of Interest |
| ☒ Falsification or Omission of Records | ☐ Harassment, Hostile Conduct, or Intimidation | ☒ Safety Violation |
| ☐ Insubordination | ☐ Retaliation | ☐ Other: _____ |
| ☒ Unauthorized Disclosure or Misuse of Asset(s) or Resources | | |

Date(s) of Alleged Conduct: 17 APR 2024	Approximate Time(s): Numerous, no exact number.	Location(s): 50 th Floor, Incubator 3	Is the conduct ongoing? ☒ Yes ☐ No
--	--	--	--

SECTION IV — SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

SUMMARY OF ALLEGED CONDUCT - Provide a concise summary. Complete and attach Form FECC-14.b for the detailed written account.

During my administering of a minor psychiatric evaluation of asset #50F-i3, I noticed numerous instances of safety violations and breaches of protocol enacted and/or permitted by Dr. Samuel Kane. Further details will be expanded upon in the attached FECC-14.B form.

SECTION V — WITNESSES AND SUPPORTING RECORDS

Witness name(s), employee no(s), and contact information:	Supporting records attached:
N/A	☐ Email/Message ☒ Record/Report ☒ Image/Recording ☐ Other: _____ ☐ None

SECTION VI — PRIOR NOTIFICATION AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Previously reported? ☒ No ☐ Yes If yes, identify recipient and date:	Immediate safety, access, or record-preservation concern? ☐ No ☒ Yes If yes, specify: Noetic Corruption
--	---

Additional information relevant to intake or review: Any necessary additional files/records/media will be found on asset 50F-i3's PROXY system.

SECTION VII — CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing information is true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that knowingly providing materially false information may result in administrative or disciplinary action.

Complainant Signature: 	Submission Date: 04/17/2024
---	--------------------------------

SECTION VIII — ADMINISTRATIVE USE ONLY

Received By: Elena De la Cruz	Date Received: 04/17/2024	Assigned Case File #: SVK24IR	Authorized Signature: 
----------------------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------------	--

REQUIRED ATTACHMENT: Form FECC-14.B, Detailed Account of Complaint, must be completed and submitted with this form.



RESEARCH OF INTERLOPING FORCES AND THREATS
OFFICE OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS
FORMAL EMPLOYEE CONDUCT COMPLAINT
DETAILED ACCOUNT

Form FECC-14.B • Continuation Sheet

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT: This continuation sheet must remain attached to the corresponding Formal Employee Conduct Complaint, Form FECC-14.A. Both forms must be submitted together.

SECTION A — COMPLAINT IDENTIFICATION

Complainant Full Name:
Dr. Nathan Sinclair

Employee No.:
#143-D

Submission Date:
04/17/2024

SECTION B — DETAILED WRITTEN ACCOUNT

Provide a complete, factual account in chronological order. Identify relevant dates, times, locations, statements, actions, witnesses, and supporting records. Attach additional copies of Form FECC-14.A if more space is required.

On April 7, 2024, I visited #50F-i3 to conduct a brief, scheduled psychiatric evaluation of the asset. While there, I observed multiple apparent violations of established incubation research and personnel-safety protocols. Dr. Kane and asset #50F-i3 interacted with a degree of familiarity that exceeded appropriate professional boundaries. Their exchanges were personal, informal, and apparently routine. Dr. Kane made no attempt to reestablish the expected relationship between a supervising researcher and an anomalous asset. This raised immediate concern regarding his ability to enforce necessary restrictions. I also observed evidence that asset #50F-i3 had received unsanctioned food, recreational materials, and other material goods as behavioral "rewards". These included (but were not limited to): special instant ramen flavors, lobster dinners, crayons, toys, and articles of clothing. I found no corresponding authorization or documented research purposes for their provision.

Of greater concern, I discovered evidence that Dr. Kane has used the incubators PROXY system to conduct repeated experiments in which asset #50F-i3 has made claims regarding sustained communication involving "friends" and "voices in its head". These experiments and their results do not appear to have been disclosed through any official reporting channels. After questioning Dr. Kane's team, I found that his informal familiarity with the asset was widely known among them and raised no concern; several described it as standard practice within the incubator. More troubling, several members were also under the impression that they had explicit authorization to conduct experiments intended to alter the asset's chemical and radioactivity levels utilizing the PROXY system. When I requested to see this authorization, none could be produced. It is my assessment that Dr. Kane's team has been operating under the impression that this activity was sanctioned, when in fact no such approval exists on record. This suggests either a deliberate misrepresentation to his team regarding the scope of his authority or a broader breakdown in the reporting and oversight structure surrounding asset #50F-i3's research projects.

Any potential wireless transmissions that breach Faraday Cage parameters constitute significant anomalous developments with potential incubation, intelligence, information-security, exposure, and even profitable implications. If Dr. Kane knowingly omitted this activity from official records, then any reports representing the PROXY system may contain material omissions or false representations.

I wish to state clearly that I am not submitting this complaint out of personal hostility or professional rivalry. Asset #50F-i3 has a documented capacity to psionically influence, infect, and compromise cognition and free will in exposed individuals. Dr. Kane has worked in sustained proximity to the asset for years. His apparent attachment to the asset, provision of unauthorized privileges, disregard for reporting requirements, possible unsanctioned modifications to the assets PROXY system, and concealment of significant experimental results may represent cognitive compromise resulting from prolonged exposure to the asset.

I am therefore requesting a full Incident Review of Dr. Kane's handling of R.I.F.T. protocol to investigate violations. Furthermore, an immediate digital forensic examination of asset #50F-i3's PROXY system should be conducted to search for any unauthorized software, files, documents, communications, recordings, media, research data, and withheld material. Relevant system logs, surveillance records, laboratory notes, backups, and external storage devices should be preserved before Dr. Kane, or any other users, are able to access or modify them.



RESEARCH OF INTERLOPING FORCES AND THREATS
OFFICE OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS

NOTICE OF EMPLOYEE COMPLAINT DISPOSITION

Form FECC-14C • Rev. 07/2026 • Internal Administrative Record

COMPLAINT REFERENCE		
Complainant Full Name: Dr. Nathan Sinclair	Employee No.: #143-D	Complaint Submission Date: 04/17/2024
Date of Notice: 04/26/2024	Respondent Full Name: Dr. Samuel Albert Kane	Case File #: SVK24IR
DISPOSITION AND ACTION		
☐ No Further Administrative Action Warranted ☐ Matter Referred for Preliminary Inquiry ☒ Formal Administrative Incident Review Initiated ☐ Matter Referred to Another Office or Authority ☐ Corrective or Administrative Action Initiated ☐ Complaint Closed Following Review ☐ Other Action: _____		
OFFICIAL RESPONSE		
SUMMARY OF DETERMINATION OR ACTION TAKEN - Provide a concise explanation appropriate for disclosure.		
Your complaint concerning Dr. Samuel Kane's handling of asset #50F-i3 has been received and entered for formal review. Based upon the matters identified in your submission, the Office of Internal Affairs has authorized the convening of an Incident Review panel. The panel will be scheduled at Dr. Oberman's earliest availability, with all relevant personnel notified once a date and time has been established. Your complaint and supporting materials will remain on record pending review. You may be contacted if additional information or testimony is required.		
NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT		
This notice communicates the administrative disposition of the complaint identified above. Applicable privacy, personnel, security, or investigative restrictions may limit the information that can be disclosed regarding specific findings, corrective measures, or disciplinary action. This notice does not alter any right to submit additional relevant information through authorized channels.		
AUTHORIZATION		
Authorized Official: Elena De la Cruz	Date: 04/26/2024	Signature: 

REQUIRED ATTACHMENT: Copies of referenced submitted forms FECC-14.A + FECC-14.B must be returned to complainant with this page.